

HILBERT SPACES: ORTHONORMAL BASES

M TARKESHIAN

November 17, 2017

Recall definitions from II.1:

Definition 0.1. A \mathbb{K} vector space V is an *inner product space* if there exists a map (“*the inner product*”) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ that satisfies the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$

$$(1) \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and } \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0$$

$$(2) \langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$$

$$(3) \langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$(4) \langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}.$$

– $x, y \in V$ are *orthogonal* if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.

– $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \in V$ collection of vectors in V is called an *orthonormal set* iff

$$\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. *Every inner product space V is a normed linear space with the norm*

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$$

Definition 0.2. A *Hilbert Space* is a complete inner product space.

1. HILBERT SPACES: ORTHONORMAL BASES

– Want to develop idea of orthonormal set further \Rightarrow To extend the finite-dimensional “basis” to complete inner product spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space.

Orthonormal Basis for \mathcal{H} / Complete Orthonormal System for \mathcal{H} :

An orthonormal set $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ such that if $S \subsetneq U$ for some $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, then U is not an orthonormal set.

– i.e., No other orthonormal set contains S as a proper subset.

Theorem II.5

Every Hilbert space \mathcal{H} has an orthonormal basis.

Proof. (Standard application of Zorn’s Lemma)

Let \mathcal{C} be the collection of all orthonormal sets in \mathcal{H} .

Define the regular partial ordering on \mathcal{C} (set inclusion), i.e., $S_1 < S_2$ if $S_1 \subset S_2$.

\mathcal{C} is non-empty since for any $v \in V$, $\left\{ \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right\}$ is an orthonormal set $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be any linearly ordered subset of \mathcal{C} (i.e., any totally ordered subset of \mathcal{C}).

Then,

$$S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha \text{ is an orthonormal set and}$$

$$S_\alpha < S \quad \forall \alpha \in A \text{ (as } S_\alpha \subset S \text{ by definition of union)}$$

Why is S orthonormal?

▮ Suppose $x, y \in S, x \neq y$. Clearly $\langle x, x \rangle = 1 = \langle y, y \rangle$ as both x, y are elements of an orthonormal set in V . Then, $x \in S_i$ and $y \in S_j$ for some $i, j \in A$. As $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is totally ordered, then either $S_i \subset S_j$ or $S_j \subset S_i$.

WLOG, $S_i \subset S_j$, then $x, y \in S_j$ and hence since S_j is an orthonormal set, then $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. As $x, y \in S$ were arbitrary, then it follows that S is an orthonormal set. ▮

Now, S is orthonormal ($S \in \mathcal{C}$) and $S_\alpha < S$ for all $\alpha \in A$. By definition, then S is an upper bound of the totally ordered subset $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$.

As $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ was an arbitrary totally ordered subset of \mathcal{C} , then every totally ordered subset has an upper bound.

By *Zorn's Lemma*, it follows that \mathcal{C} has a *maximal* element. That is, there exists some $B \in \mathcal{C}$ such that if $B \subset S$ for an orthonormal set $S \subseteq V$, then $B = S$. Hence, B is an orthonormal set that is not properly contained in any other orthonormal set. By definition, B is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} .

\Rightarrow Every Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis. □

BUT... Orthonormal Basis \neq Vector Space Basis

Counterexample: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $e_n \in \ell^2$ be the sequence $(e_{n,k})_{k \geq 1}$ where

$$e_{n,n} = 1 \text{ and } e_{n,k} = 0 \text{ if } n \neq k$$

So $\mathcal{E} = \{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of ℓ^2 (since $\langle x, e_j \rangle = x_j$ for all j), but NOT a vector space basis since the sequence $(\frac{1}{n})_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^2$ is NOT a finite linear combination of elements of \mathcal{E} . i.e.,

$$\text{lin}\mathcal{E} \neq \ell^2$$

Analogous to finite-dimensional vector spaces, elements of a Hilbert space can be expressed as a “linear combination” of basis elements by the following theorem.

Theorem II.6

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $S = \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ an orthonormal basis. Then, for each $y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$y = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \langle y, x_\alpha \rangle x_\alpha \quad (1)$$

and

$$\|y\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} |\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle|^2 \quad (2)$$

The equality in (1) means that the sum on the right-hand side converges to y in \mathcal{H} independent of order.

Conversely, if $\sum_{\alpha \in A} |c_\alpha|^2 < \infty$, $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, then $\sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha x_\alpha$ converges to an element of \mathcal{H} .

Proof.

To show (1): $y = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \langle y, x_\alpha \rangle x_\alpha$, let $y \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary.

By *Bessel's Inequality* (Section II.1), for any finite subset $A' \subseteq A$,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A'} |\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle|^2 \leq \|y\|^2$$

Thus, $\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle \neq 0$ for only a countable number of α 's in A .

Why is $E = \{\alpha \in A \mid \langle y, x_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\}$ countable?

▮ For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$E_n = \left\{ \alpha \in A \mid |\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle| > \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

Suppose E_n is infinite.

Then, there exists a countably infinite subset $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E_n$ such that $\alpha_m \neq \alpha_k$ whenever $k \neq m$. Hence, by Bessel's inequality,

$$\|y\|^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |\langle y, x_{\alpha_i} \rangle|^2$$

Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|y\|^2 \geq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle y, x_{\alpha_m} \rangle|^2 \geq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

As the latter sum diverges, this implies $\|y\| = \infty$ (contradiction!). Hence, each E_n is finite.

Now,

$$E = \{\alpha \in A \mid \langle y, x_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$$

is a countable union of finite sets $\Rightarrow E$ is countable.

Order these indices by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ and so on.

Now, $\sum_{j=1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2 \leq \|y\|^2$ is monotone increasing (as it is summing positive terms) and bounded (by $\|y\|^2$), hence it converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} \|\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle x_\alpha\| = \sum_{\alpha \in A} |\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle| < \infty$$

then this sum *absolutely converges*. As \mathcal{H} is a Banach space, then it follows that $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \langle y, x_\alpha \rangle x_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$ converges in \mathcal{H} .

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $y_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j}$.

Then, for $n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - y_m\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j} \right\|^2 \\ \|y_n - y_m\|^2 &= \sum_{j=m+1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Why?

▮ By definition of the norm on \mathcal{H} ,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j} \right\|^2 = \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j}, \sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j} \right)$$

By linearity of the inner product on \mathcal{H} in the first coordinate and conjugate linearity in the second coordinate, then

$$\left(\sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j}, y \rangle x_{\alpha_j}, \sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j} \right) = \sum_{j=m+1}^n \sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle \cdot \overline{\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle} \cdot \langle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle$$

As $S = \{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an orthonormal set, $\langle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle = 1$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j}, \sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j} \right) &= \sum_{j=m+1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle \cdot \overline{\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle} \\ &\Rightarrow \|y_n - y_m\|^2 = \sum_{j=m+1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the statement holds ▮

Since $\sum_{j=1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\|y_n - y_m\|^2 = \sum_{j=m+1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2 \Rightarrow (y_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{H} \text{ is Cauchy}$$

Why?

▮ Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

$$\|y_n - y_m\|^2 = \sum_{j=m+1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2$$

Let $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2$.

Let $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon^2 - |L|$. Then, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2 - L \right| < \varepsilon'$$

Since $\|y_n - y_m\|^2 = \sum_{j=m+1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2$, and by the reverse triangle inequality, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \left| \|y_n - y_m\|^2 - |L| \right| < \varepsilon' \\ &\Rightarrow \|y_n - y_m\|^2 < \varepsilon' + |L| \\ &\quad \|y_n - y_m\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon' + |L|} \\ &\Rightarrow \|y_n - y_m\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n, m \geq N \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(y_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{H}$ is Cauchy ▮.

\mathcal{H} is complete $\Rightarrow \exists$ some $y' \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$(y_n)_{n \geq 1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} y' \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\Rightarrow y' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j}$$

Consider $\langle y - y', x_{\alpha_t} \rangle$ for some $t \in A$ (i.e., $x_{\alpha_t} \in S$). Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y'$, by the continuity of the inner product then

$$\langle y - y', x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle y - y_n, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle$$

$$\langle y - y', x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle y - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_t} \right\rangle$$

By linearity of the inner product in the first coordinate, then

$$\langle y - y', x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle y, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle - \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_t} \right\rangle$$

$$\langle y - y', x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle y, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle \langle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle$$

Now, since $x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_t} \in S$ belong to an orthonormal set, then $\langle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = \delta_{jt} = 1$ only when $j = t$. Hence, the only element of the sum that remains occurs when $j = t$.

$$\Rightarrow \langle y - y', x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = \langle y, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle - \langle y, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle \langle x_{\alpha_t}, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle$$

As $\langle x_{\alpha_t}, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = 1$, then

$$\langle y - y', x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = \langle y, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle - \langle y, x_{\alpha_t} \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle y - y', x_{\alpha_t} \rangle = 0$$

As $x_{\alpha_t} \in S$ was arbitrary, $\langle y - y', x_{\alpha} \rangle = 0$ for all $x_{\alpha} \in S$.

Since S is an orthonormal basis, it is not contained in any larger orthonormal set, and hence it follows that $y - y' = 0$, $y = y'$.

$$y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j} = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \langle y, x_{\alpha} \rangle x_{\alpha} \quad \Rightarrow \quad (1) \text{ holds}$$

To show (2) holds: Let $y \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary as before.

Recall that since $\sum_{j=1}^N |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2 \leq \|y\|^2$ is monotone increasing (as it is summing positive terms) and bounded (by $\|y\|^2$), it converges as $N \rightarrow \infty$. That is,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} |\langle y, x_{\alpha} \rangle|^2 < \infty$$

Remains to show that $\|y\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} |\langle y, x_{\alpha} \rangle|^2$.

By (1),

$$y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j}$$

By continuity of the inner product, then

$$\|y\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j} \right\|^2$$

By the definition of $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathcal{H} ,

$$\|y\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j}, \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle x_{\alpha_j} \right)$$

By linearity (in 1st coordinate) and conjugate linearity (in 2nd coordinate) of the inner product,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle \overline{\sum_{j=1}^n \langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle} \langle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle \\ \|y\|^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2 \langle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

As $\langle x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle = 1$,

$$\|y\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle y, x_{\alpha_j} \rangle|^2 \text{ as required.}$$

Lastly, the **converse statement:** If $\sum_{\alpha \in A} |c_{\alpha}|^2 < \infty$, $c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{K}$, then $\sum_{\alpha \in A} c_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}$ converges to an element of \mathcal{H} .

Suppose for some $c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} |c_{\alpha}|^2 < \infty$$

Consider the series $\sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha x_\alpha$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in A} |c_\alpha x_\alpha| &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} |c_\alpha| \|x_\alpha\| \\ \sum_{\alpha \in A} |c_\alpha x_\alpha| &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} |c_\alpha| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} |c_\alpha|^2 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha x_\alpha$ is absolutely convergent and since $c_\alpha x_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$, as \mathcal{H} is complete then $\sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha x_\alpha$ converges in \mathcal{H} . \square

Remark 1.2. Parseval's Relation: (2) in the above theorem:

$$\|y\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} |\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle|^2$$

– Coefficients $\langle y, x_\alpha \rangle$ called *The Fourier Coefficients* of y with respect to the basis $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$.

Recall: *Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization*

- To construct an orthonormal set from an arbitrary sequence of independent vectors.

Suppose $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ is an arbitrary set of independent vectors in an inner product space V . Construct an orthonormal set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ such that for each m , $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^m$ and $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m$ span the same vector space.

- i.e., the set of all finite linear combinations of the v_i 's is the same as the set of all finite linear combinations of the u_i 's.

Recall the procedure:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= u_1 \longrightarrow v_1 = \frac{w_1}{\|w_1\|} \\ w_2 &= u_2 - \langle v_1, u_2 \rangle v_1 \longrightarrow v_2 = \frac{w_2}{\|w_2\|} \\ &\vdots \\ w_n &= u_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \langle v_k, u_n \rangle v_k \longrightarrow v_n = \frac{w_n}{\|w_n\|} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

Recall:

Definition 1.3. A *separable Hilbert space* is a Hilbert space with a countable dense subset, i.e., there exists some countable $A \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that $\overline{A} = \mathcal{H}$.

- Most Hilbert spaces are separable
- Following theorem characterizes separable Hilbert spaces up to isomorphism.

Theorem II.7

A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is separable if and only if it has a countable orthonormal basis S .

- If there are $N < \infty$ elements in S , then $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{K}^N$.
- If there are countably many elements in S , then $\mathcal{H} \cong \ell^2$.

Proof.

▮ Before we start the proof, recall the following *Lemma* from Section I of the lectures (Normed Spaces):

Lemma: A normed space X is separable if and only if there exists a countable $A \subset X$ such that $X = \overline{\text{lin}A}$. ▮

\implies Suppose \mathcal{H} is separable.

Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable dense subset of \mathcal{H} ($\overline{A} = \mathcal{H}$) and hence $\overline{\text{lin}A} = \mathcal{H}$.

We can obtain a countable subcollection $V \subseteq A$ such that $V = \{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ consists of only linearly independent vectors (i.e., discard the dependent vectors), such that the set of all finite linear combinations is the same as that of A .

$$\overline{\text{lin}V} = \overline{\text{lin}A}$$

Since $\overline{\text{lin}A} = \mathcal{H}$,

$$\implies \overline{\text{lin}V} = \mathcal{H}$$

Hence, V is a subset of linearly independent vectors such that $\overline{\text{lin}V} = \overline{\text{lin}A} = \mathcal{H}$.

$V \subset \mathcal{H}$ is linearly independent, so by applying Gram-Schmidt, we obtain an orthonormal set S in \mathcal{H} such that S is countable (as V is countable) and

$$\overline{\text{lin}V} = \overline{\text{lin}S} = \mathcal{H}$$

To show that S is an orthonormal basis, let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that

$$\langle x, s_n \rangle = 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (It must be shown that $x = 0$, because otherwise, $S \cup \{x\}$ would be an orthonormal set that properly contains S).

Let $S = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

As $\text{lin}S \subset \mathcal{H}$ is dense, let $\{w_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \text{lin}S$ be such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w_k = x$$

Since $\langle x, s_n \rangle = 0$ for all elements s_n of S , and since $w_k \in \text{lin}S$, then by the linearity and continuity of the inner product, the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, x \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, w_k \rangle = 0 \\ \langle x, x \rangle = 0 &\Rightarrow x = 0 \text{ by property (1) of inner product} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle x, s_n \rangle = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x = 0 \Rightarrow S$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} .

So \mathcal{H} has a countable orthonormal basis.

\Leftarrow On the other hand, suppose $S = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} .

By the previous theorem (II.6), for any $y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle y, s_i \rangle s_i \\ \text{i.e., } y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle y, s_i \rangle s_i \end{aligned}$$

That is, $y \in \overline{\text{lin}S}$ (a limit of elements of $\text{lin}S$). As this holds for any $y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\overline{\text{lin}S} = \mathcal{H}$$

By the lemma: As S is countable and $\overline{\text{lin}S} = \mathcal{H}$, then \mathcal{H} is separable.

• **If S has countably many elements:**

Suppose \mathcal{H} is separable and $S = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis.

Define the map $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2$ by

$$\mathcal{U} : x \mapsto \{\langle x, s_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

– \mathcal{U} is well-defined and linear:

By Theorem II.6 (previous theorem) for $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, s_n \rangle s_n \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that} \\ \|x\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, s_n \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of norm, $\|x\|^2 < \infty$ and hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, s_n \rangle|^2 < \infty$$

That is, for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{U}(x) \in \ell_2$. So \mathcal{U} is well-defined.

Also, if $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}(\alpha x + y) &= \{\langle \alpha x + y, s_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ \mathcal{U}(\alpha x + y) &= \{\langle \alpha x, s_n \rangle + \langle y, s_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ \mathcal{U}(\alpha x + y) &= \{\alpha \langle x, s_n \rangle + \langle y, s_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\alpha x + y) &= \alpha \mathcal{U}(x) + \mathcal{U}(y)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, \mathcal{U} is linear.

– **\mathcal{U} is onto:**

Let $(c_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$ be arbitrary. Then,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 < \infty$$

By the second part of theorem II.6 (the converse statement), then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_j s_j$ converges to an element of \mathcal{H} . Thus, letting $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j s_j \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \left\{ \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j s_j, s_n \right\rangle \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

By linearity (in 1st coordinate) of the inner product, then

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \langle s_j, s_n \rangle \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Since $\langle s_j, s_n \rangle = 1$ iff $j = n$ (0 otherwise),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}(x) &= \{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(x) &= (c_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\end{aligned}$$

So \mathcal{U} is onto.

– **\mathcal{U} is isometric:**

Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary.

It must be shown that $\|x\| = \|\mathcal{U}x\|$.

By definition,

$$\|\mathcal{U}x\|^2 = \langle \mathcal{U}x, \mathcal{U}x \rangle = \langle \langle x, s_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \langle x, s_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle$$

Using the inner product on ℓ^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{U}x, \mathcal{U}x \rangle &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\langle x, s_n \rangle} \langle x, s_n \rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{U}x, \mathcal{U}x \rangle &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle x, s_n \rangle|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \langle \mathcal{U}x, \mathcal{U}x \rangle &= \|x\|^2 \text{ by Theorem II.6} \\ \| \mathcal{U}x \|^2 &= \|x\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as $x \in \mathcal{H}$ was arbitrary and $\| \mathcal{U}x \| = \|x\|$, \mathcal{U} is isometric.

As \mathcal{U} is well-defined, surjective, and isometric, then \mathcal{U} is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces.

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H} \cong \ell^2$$

• **If S has N elements:** This is identical to the preceding argument for a countably infinite orthonormal basis S (replacing the inner product on ℓ^2 with the inner product on \mathbb{K}^N).

Suppose \mathcal{H} is separable and $S = \{s_n\}_{n=1}^N$ is an orthonormal basis.

Define the map $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^N$ by

$$\mathcal{U} : x \mapsto \{\langle x, s_n \rangle\}_{n=1}^N$$

– **\mathcal{U} is well-defined and linear:**

\mathcal{U} is linear as in the previous case.

\mathcal{U} is well-defined since for $x \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle x, s_n \rangle|^2 < \infty$$

Hence, $\mathcal{U}(x) \in \mathbb{K}^N$.

– **\mathcal{U} is onto:**

Let $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{K}^N$ be arbitrary. Then,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N |c_j|^2 < \infty$$

By the second part of theorem II.6 (the converse statement), then $\sum_{j=1}^N c_j s_j$ converges to an element of \mathcal{H} . Thus, letting $x = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j s_j \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \left\{ \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^N c_j s_j, s_n \right\rangle \right\}_{n=1}^N$$

By linearity (in 1st coordinate) of the inner product, then

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \langle s_j, s_n \rangle \right\}_{n=1}^N$$

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \{c_n\}_{n=1}^N$$

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) = c$$

So \mathcal{U} is onto.

– **\mathcal{U} is isometric:**

Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary.

It must be shown that $\|x\| = \|\mathcal{U}x\|$.

By definition,

$$\|\mathcal{U}x\|^2 = \langle \mathcal{U}x, \mathcal{U}x \rangle = \langle \langle x, s_n \rangle_{n=1}^N, \langle x, s_n \rangle_{n=1}^N \rangle$$

Using the standard inner product on \mathbb{K}^N ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{U}x, \mathcal{U}x \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{\langle x, s_n \rangle} \langle x, s_n \rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{U}x, \mathcal{U}x \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle x, s_n \rangle|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{U}x, \mathcal{U}x \rangle &= \|x\|^2 \text{ by Theorem II.6} \\ \|\mathcal{U}x\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as $x \in \mathcal{H}$ was arbitrary and $\|\mathcal{U}x\| = \|x\|$, \mathcal{U} is isometric.

As \mathcal{U} is well-defined, surjective, and isometric, then \mathcal{U} is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{K}^N$$

□

Remark 1.4. Preceding theorem shows that in the separable case, the Gram-Schmidt process allows us to construct an orthonormal basis **without using Zorn's Lemma**.

How did Hilbert spaces naturally arise from problems in classical analysis?

If $f(x)$ is an integrable function on $[0, 2\pi]$, define c_n as

$$c_n = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-inx} f(x) dx$$

Definition 1.5. The formal series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{inx}$ is called the *Fourier series* of f .

Classical Problem: For which f and in what sense does the Fourier series of f converge to f ?

Theorem II.8

Suppose that $f(x)$ is periodic of period 2π and is continuously differentiable. Then the functions $\sum_{n=-M}^M c_n e^{inx}$ converge uniformly to $f(x)$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

– Gives sufficient conditions for Fourier series of a function to converge uniformly.

• But what about finding the exact class of functions whose Fourier series converge uniformly or converge pointwise?

– Can get a nice answer to this question if we change notion of “convergence” and this is where we use Hilbert spaces

The collection of functions $\left\{ (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{inx} \right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ is an *orthonormal set* in $L^2[0, 2\pi]$.

– If it was an *Orthonormal Basis*, then Theorem II.6 would imply that for all functions in $L^2[0, 2\pi]$,

$$f(x) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-M}^M c_n (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{inx}$$

i.e., convergence in L^2 norm.

Theorem II.9

If $f \in L^2[0, 2\pi]$, then $\sum_{n=-M}^M c_n (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{inx}$ converges to f in the L^2 norm as $M \rightarrow \infty$.